



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,198	12/28/2001	Jong Dae Kim	0465-0883P 5402	
2292	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	NELSON, ALECIA DIANE		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/029,198	KIM, JONG DAE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alecia D. Nelson	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 February 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	,	o-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del>				
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Character (* 1.2.10=)				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,198

Art Unit: 2675

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,529,181).

With reference to **claims 1, 11, and 16,** Nakano et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device comprising a LCD panel (10); a plurality of source drivers (130) applying data signals to the LCD panel; a plurality of gate drivers (140) applying gate driving signals to the LCD panel; a timing controller (100) outputting to the source drivers at least two clock signals (D4, 131; D5, 132) having different phases (see column 6, lines 30-37), the timing controller separately outputting RGB (134) data synchronized with

each output signal to the source drivers (see column 7, lines 15-23); and at least two data buses transmitting the data separately output from the timing controller to the source drivers (see column 6, line 30-column 7, line 23), wherein the at least two data buses are connected between the timing controller and the respective source drivers (see Figure 1). With further reference to *claims 11 and 16*, Nakano et al. also teaches that the first clock signal (D4) is transmitted to odd-numbered drain drivers (130) and clock signal (D5) is transmitted to even numbered drain drivers (130) (see column 6, lines 38-43).

With reference to **claim 5**, Nakano et al. teaches that the fist and second clock signals (D4, D5) have opposite phase to each other (see column 6, lines 30-37).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3, 4,7-9, 12-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,529,181) in view of Uchino (U.S. Patent No. 6,040,816)

With reference to **claims 3, 4, 12, and 14**, While Nakano et al. teaches all as required and explained above with reference to **claims 1 and 11**, there fails to be any

Application/Control Number: 10/029,198

Art Unit: 2675

teaching of the timing controller outputting data synchronized with the rising and falling edge time of each clock signal.

Uchino teaches that the data is synchronized with a rising edge time and falling edge time of each clock signal (see Figure 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the data to be synchronized with the rising and falling edge time of the clock signal as taught by Uchino in the system similar to that which is taught by Nakano et al. in order to thereby reduce noise and providing a clearer display to the user.

With reference to **claims 7, 8, 13, 15, 17, and 18,** While Nakano et al. teaches all as required and explained above with reference to **claims 1 and 11**, there fails to be any teaching of the source driver samples data in the falling edge time when the data synchronized with the rising edge timing or that the driver samples data in the rising edge time when the data synchronized in the falling edge timing is output.

Uchino teaches that the source driver samples data (A1-A3) synchronized with a rising edge of the data synchronized with a falling edge of each clock signal that is output (see Figure 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the data to be synchronized with the rising and falling edge time of the clock signal as taught by Uchino in the system similar to that which is taught

Art Unit: 2675

by Nakano et al. in order to thereby reduce noise and providing a clearer display to the user.

Page 5

With reference to **claim 9**, while Nakano et al. teaches a first clock signal (D4) for driving odd drain drivers and a second clock signal (D5) for driving even drain drivers (see column 6, lines 38-43), there fails to be any disclosure of the odd numbered display data output being synchronized with a rising edge of the first clock signal, or an even numbered display data synchronized with a rising edge of the second clock signal is output.

Uchino teaches that the source driver samples data (A1-A3) synchronized with a rising edge of the data synchronized with a falling edge of each clock signal that is output (see Figure 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for synchronization as taught by Uchino in a system which drives odd and even display data as taught by Nakano et al. in order to reduce the amount of crosstalk and thereby enhancing the resolution of the liquid crystal panel.

6. Claims 2, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Itakura (U.S. Patent No. 5,252,957).

With reference to **claim 2, 6, and 10** Nakano et al. teaches all that is required as explained above, however fail to specifically teach that the number of data busses is in proportion to the number of clock signals.

Itakura teaches an AMLCD wherein three busses carry three clock signals (CK1-3) and three different busses carry video data R, G, and B (see Figure 1). With further reference to claim 6, it is taught that the three clock signals have different phases to one another (see Figure 3).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow the usage of the same amount of data busses as clock busses as taught by Itakura in a device similar to that which is disclosed by Nakano in order to thereby further reduce the amount of crosstalk n order to enhance the display qualities.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Uchino fails to disclose or suggest certain limitations, and therefore the applied art fails to fairly disclose or suggest teach and every element of **claims 1 and 11**. However the applicant is reminder that the applied rejection was a 103 rejection as opposed to a 102 rejection, in which such an argument would be relevant. The applicant also argues that the teachings of Uchino teaches away from the separate sampling of the invention, however fail to understand the applicants position given the two citations with in the reference which is to teach the contradictive teachings of Uchino. Further the applicant states that Nakano fails to

Art Unit: 2675

disclose or suggest using at least two data buses and separate sampling to reduce energy consumption. However Nakano clearly teaches the usage of at least two data buses as explained above with reference to the claims. As to the separate sampling to reduce energy consumption, this argument is directed towards unclaimed subject matter.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,198

Art Unit: 2675

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alecia D. Nelson whose telephone number is 571-272-

7771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

adn/ADN July 29, 2005 AMR A. AWAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

poor Ahand horan

Page 8